Testing TSA & FBI performances with ST4 physics over Global grid

Bash Toulany, Will Perrie and Mike Casey Bedford Institute of Oceanography

WW3-dev group, March 21, 2018

Introduction:

- A brief history on the Two-Scale Approximation (**TSA**) and the Full Boltzmann Integral (**FBI**) :
 - 1) Theoretical development by Resio & Perrie (2008).
 - 2) Application to observed wave spectra by Perrie & Resio (2009).
 - 3) First implementation in ww3 was done in v4.18, with academic tests without Sin & Sds **Perrie et al. (2013)** and
 - 4) First real test cases were done with ST1 & ST2 confirming the need for tuning.
- New advanced physics for SIN4 & SDS4 (switch ST4) by **Ardhuin et al. (2010)** was tested with DIA over global ocean. Tuning was necessary to make ST4 work best with DIA.
- Recently, we have used ST4 was with DIA for modeling North Atlantic Nor'easters, **Perrie et al. (2018).** Tuning also was necessary to make ST4 deliver the best results for North Atlantic storms.
- Using the latest WAVEWATCH III release v5.16, we tested TSA (switch NL4) with ST4 for real storms over the global ocean.
- We simulated several periods in 2014 and 2015 in which significant hurricanes were present, forced by the Global Climate Forecast System Reanalysis winds (CFSR).
- We compared the TSA simulations with two other nonlinear wave-wave interaction formulations available in WW3:

the Direct Interaction Approximation (DIA), the fastest (and most-commonly used) and
the Webb, Resio & Tracey (WRT), the most accurate (but costly and hence least used).

Switches used:

F90 DIST MPI NOGRB NOPA LRB4 NC4 TRKNC PR3 UQ FLX0 LN1 ST4 STAB0 NL4 BT1 DB1 MLIM ICO IS0 REF0 WNT2 WNX2 RWND CRT1 CRX1 TR0 BS0 XX0 O0 O1 O2 O3 O4 O5 O6 O7

Grid Domain (GLOBAL):

0.0 E -> 359.5 E -80.0 S -> 80.0 N dx = dy = 0.50 deg => 720 x 321 grids dtg dtxy dtkxy dts = 1800. 600. 600. 300. (sec.) Depth, mask & obstruction files were all obtained using "gridgen1" on ETOPO1.

Initial Condition:

Use Fetch-limited JONSWAP spectrum (ITYPE = 3)

Wind forcing:

Use the Global CFSR winds at ~0.20 deg Longitude range : 0.00 359.80 (deg) Latitude range : -89.84 89.84 (deg) Input grid dim. : 1760 880

No ice forcing, water level or currents

The Simulations:

Instead of running this setup for a whole year, as in (Ardhuin et al. 2010), we chose (given the limited resources) to do several periods from 2014 & 2015 in which significant hurricanes were present. These were selected from the NHC data archive on tropical cyclone reports http://www.nhc.noaa.gov/data/tcr/

0.006 ---- default

0.006 ---- default

0.008 ----- mod-2

0.009 ----- mod-4

kt km/h					
		S က #	ΔΕΤΛΛΛΛΥ		222
Hurricane Arthur (85=157)	2014-07-01-01 -> 2014-07-07-01 (6d)	5111-#	DETAIVIAA	ZALP	r r r
Hurricane Bertha (70=130)	2014-08-01-01 -> 2014-08-07-01 (6d)	DIA-0	1.43	0.006	
Hurricane Cristobal (75=140)	2014-08-23-01 -> 2014-08-29-01 (6d)	WRT-0	1.43	0.006	
Hurricane Danny (135=250)	2015-08-18-01 -> 2015-08-25-01 (7d)	TSA-2	1.65	0.008	
Hurricane Joaquin (110=204)	2015-09-29-01 -> 2015-10-08-01 (9d)	TSA-4	1.75	0.009	
Hurricane Patricia (185=343)	2015-10-20-01 -> 2015-10-25-01 (5d)	For TS/	A runs: ALTI	_P = 2	

Note: Problem with ww3-v5.16 NL4 switch in MPI mode implementation. Running ww3_multi in MPI mode ran into trouble with all processors wanting to write the same thing, TSA lookup tables "grd_1.1000_29_36_30_37.dat". So, to get around this problem, First you have cancel all the **!/MPI lines and all the write statements in SUBROUTINE INSNL4**. Then, run ww3_shel in serial mode for 1-point ocean to generate TSA lookup table. Then we can run **ww3** multi in MPI mode with no problem.

For validation I've used:

- 1. all available Buoys (globally): got 211 buoys that are >1 grid point of the coast and
- 2. AVISO cross-calibrated merged altimeter Significant Wave Height (SWH) data (daily) from ftp://ftp.aviso.altimetry.fr/pub/oceano/AVISO/wind-wave/nrt/mswh/merged/

Location of buoys returning Hs, GLOB grd1 dx=0.5, dy=0.5, 2015–Jan–22



44017

44066

44025

TPBN4 NBLP1

MRCP1 PHBP1 DRN4

44008

44402

70 W

NDBC Moored Buoys

NDBC C-MAN Stations

NOS Stations

CBOS Stations NERACOOS Stations

 NERRS Stations NDBC DART Stations

Vermont EPSCOR

MYSOUND Stations

SCRIPPS Stations









1D Energy time evolution at Buoy 44137 (top row) & Buoy 44139 (bottom row)



1D Energy comparison at storm peak +/- x hours at Buoy 44137 (top row) & Buoy 44139 (bottom row)



Altimeter daily Hs average for 2014-07-04

TSA-4 model daily Hs average for 2014-07-04



TSA-4 Hs average over 5 days 2014-07-02 -> 2014-07-06

Altimeter Hs average over 5 days 2014-07-02 -> 2014-07-06



TSA-4: (model-altimeter) over one day 2014-07-04

TSA-4 Hs: model - Altimeter: 2014-07-04



0

-2

-6

TSA-4: (model-altimeter) over 5 days 2014-07-02 -> 2014-07-06

TSA-4: Hs Model bias [mean(model) - mean(altimeter)]: 2014-07-02 to 2014-07-06



For Hurricane Arthur

Incidence of Normalized Differences vs alt. Hs over 5 days 2014-07-02 -> 2014-07-06





FBI Hs: Incidence of Normalized differences (model and altimeter) versus Hs: average 2014-07-02 to 2014-07-06

FBI

Hurricane Arthur

TSA-4-statistics

yyyy-mm-dd	NRMSE	Bias	R	Ν
2014-07-01	0.612	-0.565	0.673	28135
2014-07-02	0.481	-0.443	0.793	28146
2014-07-03	0.424	-0.384	0.826	27991
2014-07-04	0.390	-0.347	0.839	28199
2014-07-05	0.358	-0.301	0.835	28064
2014-07-06	0.332	-0.274	0.871	28188
2014-07-07	0.337	-0.272	0.871	28046
total (d2-6)	0.361	-0.349	0.910	27677

DIA-0-statistics

yyyy-mm-dd		E Bias	R	Ν
2014-07-01	0.507	-0.422	0.686	28135
2014-07-02	0.327	-0.103	0.819	28146
2014-07-03	0.306	0.047	0.862	27991
2014-07-04	0.306	0.123	0.870	28199
2014-07-05	0.359	0.194	0.865	28064
2014-07-06	0.393	0.232	0.888	28188
2014-07-07	0.397	0.239	0.902	28046
total (d2-6)	0.223	0.099	0.938	27677

FBI-2-statistics

yyyy-mm-dd	NRMSE	Bias	R	Ν
<u>2014-07-01</u>	0.603	-0.553	0.669	28135
2014-07-02	0.458	-0.409	0.790	28146
2014-07-03	0.392	-0.341	0.833	27991
2014-07-04	0.355	-0.303	0.848	28199
2014-07-05	0.324	-0.253	0.846	28064
2014-07-06	0.300	-0.225	0.879	28188
2014-07-07	0.306	-0.224	0.881	28046
total (d2-6)	0.322	-0.306	0.917	27677

WRT-0-statistics

yyyy-mm-dd	NRMSE	E Bias	R	Ν
<u>2014-07-01</u>	0.541	-0.471	0.678	28135
2014-07-02	0.348	-0.202	0.808	28146
2014-07-03	0.288	-0.066	0.853	27991
2014-07-04	0.263	0.008	0.865	28199
2014-07-05	0.297	0.079	0.860	28064
2014-07-06	0.319	0.116	0.888	28188
<u>2014-07-07</u>	0.327	0.123	0.899	28046
total (d2-6)	0.177	-0.013	0.935	27677

Hurricane Patricia 2015 10 20 01 -> 2015 10 25 01

max winds 185kt=343km/h on 2015 10 23 12

Only few buoys available. All buoys are to left of the track and close to the coast. Need to find some altimeter tracks in that area.

Buoy 42020





Altimeter daily Hs average for 2015-10-23

TSA-4 model daily Hs average for 2015-10-23



Altimeter Hs average over 4 days 2015-10-21 -> 2015-10-24

TSA-4 Hs average over 4 days 2015-10-21 -> 2015-10-24

TSA–4 Hs: model mean on altimeter grid: 2015–10–21 to 2015–10–24





TSA-4: (model-altimeter) over one day 2015-10-23

TSA-4 Hs: model – Altimeter: 2015-10-23

TSA-4: (model-altimeter) over 4 days 2015-10-21 -> 2015-10-24

TSA-4: Hs Model bias [mean(model) – mean(altimeter)]: 2015–10–21 to 2015–10–24



For Hurricane Patricia

Incidence of Normalized differences vs Hs over 5 days 2014-07-02 -> 2014-07-06





Hurricane Patricia

TSA-4-statistics

yyyy-mm-dd	NRMSE	E Bias	R	Ν
<u>2015-10-20</u>	0.612	-0.585	0.667	28022
2015-10-21	0.489	-0.460	0.785	27906
2015-10-22	0.416	-0.383	0.856	28079
2015-10-23	0.376	-0.340	0.882	27893
2015-10-24	0.355	-0.309	0.874	28098
<u>2015-10-25</u>	<u>0.351</u>	-0.302	0.881	27808
Total (d2-5)	0.380	-0.374	0.919	27557

FBI-4-statistics

yyyy-mm-dd	NRMS	E Bias	R	Ν
<u>2015-10-20</u>	0.593	-0.559	0.658	28022
2015-10-21	0.451	-0.402	0.778	27906
2015-10-22	0.368	-0.312	0.862	28079
2015-10-23	0.327	-0.263	0.889	27893
2015-10-24	0.311	-0.230	0.873	28098
<u>2015-10-25</u>	0.308	-0.223	0.879	27808
Total (d2-5)	0.324	-0.303	0.924	27557

DIA-0-statistics

yyyy-mm-dd	NRMSE	Bias	R	Ν
2015-10-20	0.562	-0.521	0.668	28022
2015-10-21	0.370	-0.275	0.798	27906
2015-10-22	0.278	-0.142	0.882	28079
2015-10-23	0.239	-0.069	0.916	27893
2015-10-24	0.236	-0.017	0.890	28098
2015-10-25	0.229	-0.011	0.902	27808
Total (d2-5)	0.207	-0.128	0.945	27557

WRT-0-statistics

yyyy-mm-dd	NRMSE	E Bias	R	Ν
<u>2015-10-20</u>	0.591	-0.558	0.664	28022
2015-10-21	0.414	-0.353	0.792	27906
2015-10-22	0.310	-0.231	0.879	28079
2015-10-23	0.258	-0.161	0.912	27893
2015-10-24	0.242	-0.111	0.890	28098
<u>2015-10-25</u>	0.236	-0.105	0.900	27808
Total (d2-5)	0.252	-0.216	0.941	27557

Comparing Efficiency:

Running on my engineering workstation Dell Precision T7910 Dual_Xeon_E5_2660v4 using only 24 processors.

Snl#	Cpu-time (hrs)	Ratio/DIA-time
DIA-0	1.65 h	1.0
TSA-4	44.14 h	26.5
FBI-4	49.80 h	30.2
WRT-0	182.52 h	110.7

Conclusions:

1. Early results are encouraging: <u>on efficiency</u>, the cost of running TSA is about **25 x** that of DIA and **5 x** faster than WRT; <u>on accuracy</u>, the normalized-root-mean-squared error and the bias from TSA are <u>comparable</u> to those of WRT.

2. Tuning done for TSA/FBI was not enough, although, in the right direction. More tuning needs to be done. Note: for the Northeast tuning of some ST4 parameters was also necessary to achieve the best accuracy and lower the rmse, [see Perrie et al. (2018)].

3. In Ardhuin et al. (2010) tuning was done to more ST4 parameters and DIA coupling coefficient \rightarrow So what other parameters need to be tuned for TSA/FBI? Is simple adjustments to "bmax, Ccu, rcu, and su" is enough to get better results? And large-scale applications may require retuning of the wind source function, performed by a readjustment of "bmax".

Still to do:

- Fix MPI directives around TSA/FBI in "w3snl4md.ftn". This also may improve TSA speed.
- Need to make longer simulations. Add ice forcing?
- Get more buoys data to get better global coverage and better solid statistics.
- More 1D and 2D energy spectra comparison between model & buoys need to be done.
- Check CFSR winds over the west coast of Mexico & California during Hurricane Patricia.

References:

(1) Resio, D. T. and W. Perrie, 2008: A two-scale approximation for efficient representation of nonlinear energy transfers in a wind wave spectrum. Part I: Theoretical development. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 38(12), 2,801–2,816

(2) Perrie, W. and D. T. Resio, 2009: A two-scale approximation for efficient representation of nonlinear energy transfers in a wind wave spectrum. Part II: Application to observed wave spectra. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 39(10), 2,451–2,476.

(3) Ardhuin, F., W. E. Rogers, A. V. Babanin, J. Filipot, R. Magne, A. Roland, A. van der Westhuysen, P. Queffeulou, J. Lefevre, L. Aouf and F. Collard, 2010: Semiempirical dissipation source functions for ocean waves. Part I: Definition, calibration, and validation. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 40, 1,1917–1,1941.

(4) Perrie, W., B. Toulany, D. Resio, J.-P. Auclair, 2013: A two-scale approximation for wave-wave interactions in an operational wave model. Ocean Modelling. 70, 38-51. doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2013.06.008

(5) Perrie, W., B. Toulany, A. Roland, M. Dutour-Sikiric, C. Chen, R. Beardsley, J. Qi, Y. Hu, M. Casey, H. Shen, 2018: Modeling North Atlantic Nor'easters With Modern Wave Forecast Models. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 123. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JC012868